



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/761,721	01/18/2001	Mitsuru Maeda	35.C15052	5429		
5514 7590 09/02/2005  FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER			
			BAUM, R	BAUM, RONALD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2136			
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
MAEDA, MITSURU		
Art Unit	-	
2136		
	MAEDA, MITSURU  Art Unit	

		Ronald Baum	2136				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🔯 <sup>-</sup> t	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
_	The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) They raise new issues that would require further co b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
	appeal; and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		(DTOL 204)			
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allon-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
  -     	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is profine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	.A. b. afana an an Aba daka af filina a Al	ation of Association	A la			
t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, busecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 10. □	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to chowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the property of the second propert	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	Is to provide a			
	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. 🔲	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:						

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amending of claims 1,8,18,25,35 and 37 ( and the associated dependent claims by dependency) to add the limitations dealing with "... at least one section ... consisting of ...", may relate to prior art of record in a manner not covered in previous office actions. However, the amended claims, inter alia, change the scope of the said claims (i.e., consisting vrs. comprising), and would therefore require additional search. The examiner declines to reopen prosecution. Thus, any such claims submitted formally after final rejection would not be entered..

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100